



Re: Fw: START4 - TechLaw January INVOICES -- REVIEWS DUE by COB Next Tuesday, February 28, 2012
Richard Fetzer to: Karen Esposito
Cc: Gerald Heston, Bonnie Gross, williams.jacqueline, mcdonald.joanna
03/02/2012 11:43 AM

From: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US
To: Karen Esposito/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Gerald Heston/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Bonnie Gross <gross.bonnie@epa.gov>, williams.jacqueline@epa.gov, mcdonald.joanna@epa.gov

Karen,

As you are well aware, I have raised issues with regard to the review of invoices to you, Jerry, Bonnie and Joanna months ago. Until those issues were resolved, I was not advised (by Joanna, the site SAO) to recommend certification of the invoices. At first I was told that the information needed was not allowed to be supplied by the contractor. I still don't really have (what I consider) a clear resolution to this issue, but was proceeding ahead to modify the TDDs for Agmet and Dimock.

I have not "disregarded" the process nor am I "recalcitrant", but am trying to take a good hard look at the information needed to make a proper recommendation to you regarding certification of the invoices. It is the SAOs recommendation that I should not do that on both Agmet and Dimock specifically. I brought this issue to all of you IMMEDIATELY and it was several weeks until I got a partial answer from all of you. Once I got the answer to modify the TDDs, I have not had a chance to work on non-Dimock work...so I will take responsibility for the time between then and now.

Today, I have asked Joanna to provide me with some standard language to put in the TDD amendment request. Once I receive that language, I will request a modification to those TDDs. Once I receive the information from the contractor, Joanna will review it and make a recommendation to me. They I will send my recommendation to you.

For the record, I still don't understand why I have to do this. I would expect that ALL the TDDs would be reviewed consistently and properly. Should all the OSCs be requesting this information? Shouldn't the contractor be submitting all of this information on all the TDDs?

To be clear, the other TDDs may have gotten caught up in that issue, and for that I apologize. I think that those TDDs have recently expired anyway. I will research that issue separately with Jackie.

Richard M. Fetzer
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
100 Gypsum Road
Stroudsburg, PA 18360
(215) 341-6307

From: Karen Esposito/R3/USEPA/US
To: richard.fetzer@epa.gov
Cc: Gerald Heston/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Bonnie Gross <gross.bonnie@epa.gov>, williams.jacqueline@epa.gov
Date: 03/01/2012 04:08 PM
Subject: Fw: START4 - TechLaw January INVOICES -- REVIEWS DUE by COB Next Tuesday, February 28, 2012



Rich:

I am very concerned that you seemingly have disregarded the invoice review process. Please note, that you are the **only** COR who has consistently not reviewed invoices. I need to have your assurance that the costs claimed under your assignments are reasonable and appropriate given the work that was conducted under your direction. You have been recalcitrant for many months in responding to my requests to have these costs reviewed. AGMET and your other TDDs have not been reviewed, or at least I received no assurance from you that the costs were reviewed. I have spoken with Bonnie who has relayed information to Jerry. Specifically, if you require additional information/support for the invoiced costs, then you are responsible for requesting said information from the contractor. Otherwise, you will need to review the invoices with the monthly invoice information already received from the contractors.

Now this month, there is an invoice for Dimock for \$121,450. I have no choice but to include a copy of this email to Jerry and Bonnie. Reviewing the invoiced costs and reporting back to the PO is not an option under START; it is a requirement and your fiduciary responsibility as a COR.

Thank you,

Karen Esposito
START Project Officer
EPA -Region III
Office of Preparedness and Response (3HS33)
esposito.karen@epa.gov
215-814-2336
215-814-3274 (fax)

----- Forwarded by Karen Esposito/R3/USEPA/US on 02/28/2012 03:14 PM -----

From: Karen Esposito/R3/USEPA/US
To: Ann DiDonato/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Charlene Creamer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Charlie Fitzsimmons/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Christine Wagner/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Cindy Santiago/R3/USEPA/US, Daniel Wainberg/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Dawn Fulsher/R3/USEPA/US, Deborah Lindsey/R3/USEPA/US, Dennis Matlock/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Dominic Ventura/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Don McLaughlin/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Donna Santiago/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Eduardo Rovira/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Eric Newman/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Eugene Dennis/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, FranciscoJ Cruz/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Glen Lapsley/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Greg Ham/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Jack Kelly/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Jill Lowe/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, John-D Banks/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Joseph Nowak/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Joseph Vitello/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Joshua Barber/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen Esposito/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Kevin Boyd/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Casillas/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Linda Marzulli/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Lisa Johnson/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Lorie Baker/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Towle/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Zickler/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Myles Bartos/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Patti-Kay Wisniewski/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Phil Rotstein/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Raj Sharma/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US,

Robertj Kelly/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Rombel Arquines/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Ruth Scharr/R3/USEPA/US, Stephanie Wenning/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Todd Richardson/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, William Steuteville/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 02/21/2012 11:32 AM

Subject: START4 - TechLaw January INVOICES -- REVIEWS DUE by COB Next Tuesday, February 28, 2012

Hello All:

Please review **TechLaw Invoices** (as sent by Libby Burris on 02/21) and respond to me by cob Tuesday, February 28. Thanks to all who have already responded.

***** Also, please delete attachments when responding... *****

Thank you,

Karen Esposito
START Project Officer
EPA -Region III
Office of Preparedness and Response (3HS33)
215-814-2336
215-814-3274 (fax)
esposito.karen@epa.gov